IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(1) P.I.L. No.171 of 2006
with
(2) P.I.L. No. 172 of 2006

Maj.(Retd) Shankar Sudama Khandekar & Ors. ..Petitioners

vs.

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr.R.P.Sabban for petitioners.
Mr.V.P.Malvankar, A.G.P. for respondent no.1
Mr.V.B.Naik i/b M/s Naik, Naik Iyer and Co. for respondent nos. 2 and 3

CORAM: SWATANTER KUMAR C.J.
& S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J.
26th April, 2007

P.C.

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondents submit that treating these writ petitions as representations to the authorities and after calling for the report from the Corporation, the Competent Authority in the Government shall take a decision keeping in view section 451 of the Bombay Provincial Municipal Corporation Act,1949. It is further stated that they would consider the same and the order so passed shall be communicated to the petitioner. In view of the statement made the Counsel for the petitioner does not press these petitions at this stage. Leave is granted to withdraw these petitions with liberty

to approach the Court again if the order is adverse to the interest of the petitioner.

2. Petitions are accordingly disposed of.

(CHIEF JUSTICE)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J.)